RBRA Chair and Directors,

Sausalito is obviously pleased with the proposal to modify the existing settlement agreement between RBRA and BCDC to adjust efforts away from the controversial residential mooring field. We thank the 100+ residents and community groups of Sausalito and Southern Marin, including Marin Audubon and Marin Conservation League, who voiced opposition and concern for this expensive and environmentally impactful project. As always, Sausalito stands ready to lend our experience and expertise to the effective management of Richardson Bay.

As we understand the proposal the modification stipulates that RBRA can re-allocate funds from the proposed mooring field construction to other methods of voluntary transition of those living on Richardson Bay into land-based housing. The potential change to the BCDC Agreement with RBRA that would eliminate the requirement for the mooring field.

Best,

Jill Hoffman
Sausalito City Council
Sent by email to the Harbormaster shortly before the 6/23/22 meeting and forwarded to the Board of Directors. The Chairperson mentioned to Mr. Carr during public comment that they had received his email below:

From: Carr, Chris <chriscarr@paulhastings.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2022 4:14:08 PM
To: Malcolm, James <jmalcolm@marincounty.org>
Subject: Public Comments of San Francisco Bay Stewardship Alliance re Agenda Items 4.5-4.7 (Resolution 07-22 re mooring field) and 6 (Transition Plan 2.0) - RBRA Board Special Meeting (June 23, 2022)

Dear Mr. Malcom:

I would appreciate your circulating the comments set forth below to the RBRA Board Members:

Dear RBRA Board Members:

This firm represents the San Francisco Bay Stewardship Alliance (Alliance). The Alliance monitors proposed uses of San Francisco Bay and serves as a public watch dog with respect to the regulation of those uses, including associated permitting and enforcement processes. We have commented previously on RBRA’s Mooring Field Project, both before BCDC and RBRA, and submit these comments to register the Alliance’s continuing opposition to the proposed Mooring Field Project for reasons set forth in those prior written comments and as stated at RBRA meetings.

This comment on Agenda Item 4.5-4.7 (Resolution 07-22) incorporates by reference the comments set forth below in my e-mail of May 12, 2022, along with prior written comments of the Alliance and as stated at RBRA meetings. The proposed revisions reflected in draft Resolution 07-22, while eliminating redundancy, do not alleviate the Alliance’s objections to the Mooring Field Project in general, and to Resolution 07-22 as an empty gesture in particular. It once again bears repeating that the environmental review and permitting for the proposed Mooring Field Project will be far more onerous, time-consuming and costly than RBRA appears to have recognized to this point.

The Alliance also submits this comment on Agenda Item 6 (Transition Plan 2.0). The Alliance objects, for the reasons stated in this e-mail and its prior comments, both written and as stated at RBRA meetings, to draft Transition Plan 2.0. In particular, the Alliance objects to the proposed mooring field, which the draft Transition Plan 2.0 references and incorporates.

Thank you for your consideration.
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**From:** Carr, Chris <chriscarr@paulhastings.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 12, 2022 3:08 PM  
**To:** Malcolm, James <jmalcolm@marincounty.org>  
**Subject:** Public Comment of San Francisco Bay Stewardship Alliance re Agenda Item 8 (Mooring Field Development) - RBRA Board Meeting (May 12, 2022)

Dear Mr. Malcom:

I would appreciate your circulating the comment set forth below to the RBRA Board Members:

Dear RBRA Board Members:

This firm represents the San Francisco Bay Stewardship Alliance (Alliance). The Alliance monitors proposed uses of San Francisco Bay and serves as a public watch dog with respect to the regulation of those uses, including associated permitting and enforcement processes. We have commented previously on RBRA’s Mooring Field Project, both before BCDC and RBRA.

The Alliance does not believe installation of the proposed Mooring Field is consistent with the requirements of the McAteer-Petris Act.

The negative impacts on public health and safety have already been presented to RBRA at many prior meetings by numerous members of the public (and the Alliance). Those comments are incorporated herein by reference and will not be re-stated here.

The Alliance is deeply concerned that RBRA appears to believe the environmental review and permitting (both state and federal) for the Mooring Field Project will be quick and easy. The repeated expression of this view, in and of itself, suggests that RBRA has already pre-determined the outcome of the CEQA process in which it is the lead agency. The Alliance will participate in the CEQA and state and federal permitting processes for the Project to ensure that its environmental and other negative impacts are accorded the rigorous technical and robust public scrutiny required by law.

Last we note that draft Resolution Number 06-22 agendized for consideration at today’s RBRA Board meeting does absolutely nothing to address the public’s and the Alliance’s
Sent by email to the Harbormaster shortly before the 6/23/22 meeting and forwarded to the Board of Directors. The Chairperson mentioned to Mr. Carr during public comment that they had received his email below:

well-founded concerns that the proposed “temporary” moorings will become permanent.

Thank you for your consideration.